Fiduciary Duty Under Fire as Congress Considers Reform

An investor’s right to have his or her best interest put first when receiving investment advice from stockbrokers and insurance agents emerged as a key battleground as the Senate Banking Committee prepared its package of regulatory reform proposals. Under intense lobbying from the brokerage and insurance industries, the Senate Banking Committee removed a provision from its regulatory reform package that would have extended the fiduciary duty standards of the Investment Advisers Act to all who provide investment advice. The committee replaced its strong fiduciary proposal with a call for the SEC to conduct a yearlong study of the matter.

“NASAA’s focus remains unchanged. Brokers and insurance agents who offer investment advice should meet the same standards as investment advisers,” NASAA President and Texas Securities Commissioner Denise Voigt Crawford said. In February, NASAA, AARP and the Consumer Federation of America held a news conference urging the Senate to resist industry pressure to weaken the fiduciary provisions of its reform package.

Crawford’s testimony came during the first public hearings held by the 10-member bipartisan panel since it was established by Congress to examine the causes of the crisis. Crawford urged the Commission to consider how the aggressive investor protection role of state securities regulators may be expanded through the restoration of regulatory authority that was preempted by the National Securities Markets Improvement Act of 1996 (NSMIA). Calls for preemption of state regulatory authority or for more authority for self-regulatory organizations “defy common sense,” Crawford said. “The evidence clearly demonstrates that the state-federal regulatory structure actually works for the investor,” she said.

See p. 4
The NASAA Corporate Office remains committed to achieving President Crawford’s oft-stated goal of making our regulatory framework better for Main Street investors.

The Senate Banking Committee’s approval on March 22 of Sen. Dodd’s 1,336-page bill in a 21-minute, partisan markup sets up a real battle over the details of the legislation as it heads to the Senate floor.

The Senate Banking Committee came under intense pressure by financial services industry interests who launched a full-scale campaign to weaken the regulatory improvements necessary to strengthen investor protection. One of these improvements, applying a fiduciary standard to financial professionals dispensing investment advice (see p. 1), was the target of a three-month misinformation campaign by some in the broker-dealer and insurance industries, who were able to convince the committee to replace the original language with an unnecessary yearlong study.

Now that a bill is headed to the full Senate, NASAA members must all do their part to encourage their state representation in Congress to help us achieve our shared goal of securing passage of meaningful regulatory reform legislation.

The NASAA Corporate Office has pledged to increase the flow of information to the membership to ensure each member jurisdiction is apprised of new developments.

State securities regulators have a long history of serving as a forceful and unified voice on behalf of the investing public. The path of regulatory reform in Congress presents us with both the challenge and the opportunity to acquaint our elected officials with what is at stake for Main Street investors and how the bill can be strengthened to serve their best interests.
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From the Leadership

President’s Message: Denise Voigt Crawford

As the preeminent organization of securities regulators, the North American Securities Administrators Association (NASAA) has served as a visible and proactive advocate for the regulatory reforms necessary to strengthen investor protection. I have addressed Congress, the media, federal regulators and others involved in the policy-making process to urge them to resist intense pressure by financial services industry interests to weaken regulatory reform legislation.

While “business as usual” has returned to Wall Street, many Main Street investors continue to struggle with the hardships created by the rampant risk-taking and large-scale fraud that shook through financial markets last year. Congress must not waste this opportunity to provide meaningful investor protection reforms.

“The Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act” approved by the House of Representatives in December 2009, and “The Restoring American Financial Stability Act of 2010” released in the Senate in March 2010, offer a foundation for much needed reform of our financial services regulatory structure. However, the influence of powerful special interests has chipped away some of the most significant investor protection provisions in both bills. This is not the time for study and delay. Investor protection demands action now!

NASAA will continue to work with members of both the House and Senate to ensure that the painful economic and financial lessons of the recent past are not forgotten and allowed to be passed on to a future generation of investors.

Executive Director’s Message: Russ Iuculano

The NASAA Corporate Office remains committed to achieving President Crawford’s oft-stated goal of making our regulatory framework better for Main Street investors.

The Senate Banking Committee’s approval on March 22 of Sen. Dodd’s 1,336-page bill in a 21-minute, partisan markup sets up a real battle over the details of the legislation as it heads to the Senate floor.

The Senate Banking Committee came under intense pressure by financial services industry interests who launched a full-scale campaign to weaken the regulatory improvements necessary to strengthen investor protection. One of these improvements, applying a fiduciary standard to financial professionals dispensing investment advice (see p. 1), was the target of a three-month misinformation campaign by some in the broker-dealer and insurance industries, who were able to convince the committee to replace the original language with an unnecessary yearlong study.

Now that a bill is headed to the full Senate, NASAA members must all do their part to encourage their state representation in Congress to help us achieve our shared goal of securing passage of meaningful regulatory reform legislation.

The NASAA Corporate Office has pledged to increase the flow of information to the membership to ensure each member jurisdiction is apprised of new developments.

State securities regulators have a long history of serving as a forceful and unified voice on behalf of the investing public. The path of regulatory reform in Congress presents us with both the challenge and the opportunity to acquaint our elected officials with what is at stake for Main Street investors and how the bill can be strengthened to serve their best interests.
Danger Lurks on Free Lunch Menu

NASAA, AARP Report Results of Program Monitoring Senior Investment Seminars

More than three-quarters of older Americans are concerned that financial scams will damage their retirement nest eggs or those of someone they know, AARP and NASAA recently announced.

In a survey released by AARP, Protecting Older Investors: 2009 Free Lunch Seminar Report, 78 percent of Americans age 55 and over surveyed indicated that they are very or somewhat concerned about financial scams affecting them or someone they know. Fraudsters commonly engage older individuals by soliciting them to attend free lunch or dinner seminars to learn more about investing in retirement.

Nearly 6 million Americans age 55 and older have attended a free lunch or dinner in the past three years. For attendees of these free seminars, the potential cost can be quite high. Of those who attended a seminar, more than three out of four (78 percent) expected that the free financial seminar would center on opportunities to learn more about financial issues. However, once at the seminar, half said the presenter asked for personal information, such as contact information or information about their finances. Nearly 40 percent reported that the presenter tried to sell them financial products either during or after the seminar.

In response to such solicitations, AARP and NASAA launched the Free Lunch Monitor program in 2008 to raise public awareness about the possible dangers of attending free lunch seminars. Program participants also are encouraged to complete a checklist after attending a seminar. After a year of collecting checklists, volunteers reported that many seminars focused on different types of annuities, with 39 percent encouraged to purchase one, and nearly half said that the speaker did not discuss the risks associated with the annuity. Attendees were consistently promised that products were “low risk” or that they would yield “high rates of return.”

“Low risk, high reward is a red flag warning for possible investment fraud,” said NASAA President and Texas Securities Commissioner Denise Voigt Crawford. “Defrauding our senior population is unconscionable and protecting senior investors is a top priority of state securities regulators.”
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NASAA Announces IARD System Fee Waiver

Ongoing Success of IARD System Allows Waivers to Continue through 2010

NASAA recently announced it will waive the initial set-up and annual system fees paid by investment adviser firms and investment representatives to maintain the Investment Adviser Registration Depository (IARD) system.

Denise Voigt Crawford, NASAA President and Texas Securities Commissioner, said, “The IARD system promotes effective and efficient investor protection through readily accessible disclosure of important information to the public while at the same time offering a consistent and streamlined registration process for investment advisers and their representatives. Given the current economic climate, we are pleased that the IARD system’s continued success will allow us to maintain the system fee waivers put in place in 2005 for investment adviser firms and also to fully waive for a second year the system fees paid by investment adviser representatives.”

NASAA’s Board of Directors approved the system fee waiver and will continue to monitor the system’s revenues to determine future fee adjustments.
Behind the Crisis

NASAA President and Texas Securities Commissioner Denise Voigt Crawford appeared before the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission to focus on the role of state securities regulators in the current financial crisis, and to provide the Commission with recommendations to enhance states’ ability to pursue financial fraud and prosecute the perpetrators of those crimes. The following is an excerpt. Full testimony is available at the NASAA website: www.nasaa.org.

By nature, we are the first line of defense for Main Street investors and for us, enforcement is a top priority. Through the years, states have been the undisputed leaders in criminal prosecutions of securities violators because we believe in serious jail time for securities-related crimes. We have successfully exposed and addressed the profound conflicts of interest among Wall Street stock analysts by requiring changed behavior. We led all regulators on late trading and market timing in mutual funds. We address on a daily basis abusive sales practices especially those targeting vulnerable senior investors.

State securities regulators continue to lead the effort to ensure that investors receive redemptions for their frozen auction rate securities that were marketed as safe and liquid investments, an effort that already has resulted in the largest return of funds to investors in history. In the last few years, state securities regulators have been at the forefront of investor protection. Our record demonstrates clearly that we have the will and ability to regulate.

Deregulation is no longer the presumptive policy prescription; indeed today, the sense is that the current crisis was deepened by excessive deregulation. Similarly, the naiveté behind the view that markets are always self-correcting now seems apparent. But clearly, reliance by the investing public on federal securities regulators, self-regulatory organizations (SROs) and “gatekeepers” in the years preceding the crisis (and in its very midst) to detect and prevent even the most egregious of frauds and deceit was equally naive.

The most obvious developments over the past 15 years have been the rapid succession of market scandals. These seem disproportionate in both frequency and severity to prior periods in U.S. financial history. A consequence of these scandals has been that state regulators have become the dominant players in addressing many financial scandals.

The National Securities Markets Improvement Act of 1996 (NSMIA) significantly impacted the role of state regulators and enforcers in dealing with securities offerings and professionals. NSMIA preempted many state regulations and transferred significant enforcement responsibilities from the states to the federal government. NSMIA also prevented the states from taking preventative actions in areas that we now know to have been substantial contributing factors to the current crisis. One example is private placement offerings made under Regulation D Rule 506.

Private placements are exempt from federal registration and for all practical purposes exempt from federal oversight. While there may be federal requirements for private placements to come within the scope of Rule 506, in reality there is no federal regulatory enforcement. The SEC does not review Form D filings and rarely investigates Rule 506 offers.

NSMIA’s preemption of state regulation of private placements, therefore, created a regulatory black hole - today no one regulates these offerings. The bottom line is that NSMIA hampers the states’ ability to investigate known securities law violators when they become participants in subsequent offerings. We are constantly faced with playing catch up and often the result is that new investors are harmed before we can investigate and take enforcement action. Criminals have been given the upper hand and regulators are forced to triage investor harm in a cycle that plays out again and again.

As the regulators closest to investors, state securities regulators provide an indispensable layer of protection for Main Street investors.
take an extreme cynic to view NSMIA’s preemption primarily as deregulation, rather than a systematic apportionment of appropriate responsibilities between federal and state regulators. This politically driven reality lessens the temptation to view this issue as part of the broader philosophical debate on federalism and the recurring question of appropriate state and federal roles for securities regulation.

Increasingly, state securities regulators have played a far more aggressive role in prosecuting systemic securities fraud. State securities regulators were ahead of the SEC in the investigation of securities analyst conflicts and “market timing” in mutual funds. The same can be said for the Enron investigation, where the Securities Bureau of the New York Attorney General used New York’s unusually flexible securities law to investigate the role of the investment banks in the Enron fraud. Once again, state securities regulators were “out in front of” the SEC and the investigations by the New York Securities Bureau created pressure on the SEC to act, which they did by settling with the banks. Indeed, at the time of New York’s investigation, it was unclear whether the SEC intended to pursue the banks at all, let alone aggressively.

More recently, state securities regulators have been the most aggressive enforcers in the auction rate securities cases, negotiating settlements with the major investment banks totaling well over $150 billion. The New York Securities Bureau reached the first settlements with the credit rating agencies. State securities regulators have also played a significant role in resolving similar cases.

Not only have the state securities regulators frequently been first, they have been tougher. A recent study of the restitution involved in mutual fund cases is particularly revealing. It compares restitution in cases involving both a state securities regulator and the SEC, with those involving the SEC alone. The study concluded that the cases with both “prosecutors” yielded considerably higher restitution ratios. It appears that, far from monitoring the securities markets and securities industry in order to detect and terminate abusive and illegal practices, the SEC was often prompted into action only after state regulators had unearthed them. The SEC took no public action with respect to the ARS matter until August 7, 2008, when its enforcement chief announced a preliminary settlement with Citigroup compatible with the agreements already reached with members of NASAA.

State securities regulators respond to investors who typically call them first with complaints or request information about securities firms or individuals. They work on the front lines, investigating potentially fraudulent activity and alerting the public to problems. Because they are closest to the investing public, state securities regulators are often first to identify new investment scams and to bring enforcement actions to halt and remedy a wide variety of investment-related violations. The $61 billion returned to investors to resolve the demise of the ARS market is the most recent example of the states initiating a collaborative approach to a national problem.

The unique experiences of state securities regulators on the front lines of investor protection have provided the framework for my testimony. As the regulators closest to investors, state securities regulators provide an indispensable layer of protection for Main Street investors.

As the Commission continues to examine the causes of our current financial crisis and develop recommendations to prevent a reoccurrence, I respectfully suggest that the Commission consider how the role of state securities regulators may be expanded through the restoration of authority that was preempted over the past 14 years. NASAA’s recommendations include: restoring state regulatory oversight of all Regulation D Rule 506 offerings; restoring certain provisions of the Glass-Steagall Act; increasing state regulation of investment advisers; establishing a Systemic Risk Council with state financial regulatory participation; deputizing state securities attorneys to leverage talent and resources in all jurisdictions and at the federal level; providing additional resources to uncover and prosecute securities fraud cases; conducting a thorough review of all civil and criminal remedies to ensure that they more effectively deter misconduct; and reexamining and removing the hurdles facing private plaintiffs in private actions.

Our presence did not contribute to the crisis; rather, the fact that our regulatory and enforcement roles had been eroded was a significant factor in the severity of the financial meltdown.
The legislative battle over the restructuring of the financial regulatory system in the United States has taken several twists and turns as it works its way through Congress.

In December 2009, the U.S. House of Representatives approved HR 4173, “The Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act.” The legislation provides meaningful investor protection reforms and acknowledges the important role of state securities regulators.

“The ‘Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act’ is an important step toward better protecting investors,” said Denise Voigt Crawford, NASAA President and Texas Securities Commissioner. “NASAA commends the House for putting the interests of Main Street investors ahead of Wall Street. We also applaud Representatives Barney Frank (D-Mass.) and Steve Cohen (D-Tenn.) for their leadership in successfully sponsoring a floor amendment to strike the language that would have permitted FINRA to regulate investment advisory activities of its broker-dealer members.”

The Cohen-Frank amendment stripped from the bill a provision that would have allowed the SEC to delegate expanded supervisory responsibility to FINRA, the broker-dealer membership organization. This expanded authority would have incorporated oversight of the investment advisory activities of FINRA’s current member firms.

“This rulemaking authority potentially could have been used by FINRA to weaken the fiduciary duty requirements of its membership under the guise of a ‘harmonized’ fiduciary standard,” Crawford said. “NASAA remains opposed to any effort to expand the jurisdiction and authority of private membership organizations into an area that is more appropriately the province of government. For decades the regulation of investment advisers has been the responsibility of state and federal governments, both of which are transparent and accountable to the investing public. Extending this broad responsibility to a private membership organization amounts to an ‘outsourcing’ of a critical government regulatory obligation.”

As passed by the House, the “Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act” recognized the investor protection role of state securities regulators by raising the threshold for state-registered investment advisers to $100 million from $25 million assets under management.

The sponsors of the bill also included a mandate that investment advisers are “regulated and examined or required to be regulated and examined” by a state for the threshold to be raised in that state. The manager’s amendment added language to permit an investment adviser with AUM between $25 million and $100 million, which registers with five or more states, to maintain its registration with the SEC.

“The state system of investment adviser regulation has worked well with the $25 million threshold since it was mandated in 1996,” Crawford said. “The states have developed an effective regulatory structure and enhanced technology to oversee investment advisers. Increasing the threshold to $100 million would reduce the SEC’s examination burden and allow the agency to focus on larger firms and other market issues.”

The House-passed bill also would create a Financial Services Oversight Council. Through the efforts of Chairman Frank and Reps. Paul Kanjorski (D-Penn.) and Dennis Moore (D-Kan.), a state securities regulator would serve as a nonvoting member of the Council. Language also was added to clarify that the nonvoting members will be included in all Council meetings, proceedings, discussions and deliberations. “The responsibility of identifying and managing systemic risk should not be assigned to a single agency, but instead should be the responsibility of a council comprised of state and federal regulators,” Crawford said. “Because we are closest to the investing public, state securities regulators are often first to identify new investment frauds and to bring enforcement actions to halt and remedy a wide variety of market-wide investment-related violations.”

In recognition of the effectiveness of state securities regulators, language was added to the bill’s provisions concerning the creation of a Consumer Financial Protection Agency that specifically excludes state-
registered investment advisers from the oversight of the CFPB. Also, a savings clause was added to clarify that all federal and state securities activity is excluded from the jurisdiction of the CFPB. “There was no rationale for differentiating the SEC’s jurisdiction from that of state securities regulators,” Crawford said. “State jurisdiction in this context is complementary but not overlapping and we are pleased that our concerns were addressed in the approved legislation.”

Chairman Frank’s Manager’s Amendment to H.R. 4173 also included language that creates an Office of Financial Protection for Older Americans within the Consumer Financial Protection Agency. The function of this office is to facilitate the financial literacy of individuals age 62 and older to help protect them from unfair and deceptive practices and educate them on their financial choices. This office is directed to coordinate consumer protection efforts with other federal and state regulators.

The Senior Investment Protection Act, which is based on adoption of NASAA’s senior designation model rule, was incorporated into the bill passed by the House and also has been included in the Senate regulatory reform bill.

Indeed, many of the provisions that NASAA advocated were included in a discussion draft issued by Senate Banking Chairman Christopher Dodd (D-Conn.) in November 2009. As expected, the draft Senate proposals came under serious attack by industry lobbyists. In response to the resistance to the discussion draft by committee Republicans and some Democrats, Chairman Dodd began negotiations with committee members from both parties.

NASAA urged members of Congress to resist intense pressure by financial services industry interests to weaken the regulatory improvements necessary to strengthen investor protection.

“Powerful interests in the financial services industry have aligned to delay, derail and distort the regulatory changes to the status quo that are necessary to strengthen investor protection,” Crawford said at a National Press Club Newsmakers event in December.

“While high times may have returned to Wall Street, hard times persist on Main Street. Congress must not squander this opportunity to provide meaningful investor protection reforms.”

In March, Chairman Dodd unveiled his revised regulatory reform package. Many of the strong provisions from the draft proposal were rewritten. “In seeking to gain bipartisan support for his vision of creating a 21st century solution for today’s financial services regulatory structure, Chairman Dodd has offered a mixed bag of proposals that, in some cases, substitute accountability and protection with delay and dilution of the protections Main Street investors deserve immediately,” Crawford said.

In particular, Crawford expressed NASAA’s profound disappointment that the new bill removed the single most important protection for individual investors – requiring that stockbrokers and insurance agents providing investment advice act in the best interest of their clients. “This long overdue requirement has been replaced by an industry-supported yearlong study. Instead of offering protections, the reform package offers delay in the form of yet another study. Investors, particularly senior investors, need help and clarity now,” she said.

Echoing President Crawford’s remarks, The New York Times wrote: “When in doubt, conduct a study. That, in short, is the regimen prescribed by both the House and the Senate bills proposing a regulatory overhaul of the banking and financial industries. Rather than immediately putting in place regulatory fixes for some of the problems that contributed to the financial crisis, the two bills each call for dozens of studies that will effectively delay for up to two years the possibility of addressing those problems through new laws or industry regulations.”

In another area where immediate action is needed, NASAA encouraged the committee to return to the states the full authority to review private placement offerings made under SEC Rule 506 of Regulation D.

“In its current form, the Dodd bill only provides states the ability to review certain Form D filings in those cases where the SEC does not perform its own review within 120 days,” Crawford said. “While this provision attempts to remedy the current situation in which there is essentially no federal or state regulation for private placements, it is a disappointment for the investing public and deserves more meaningful and substantive consideration.”

Crawford added that NASAA “continues to look forward to working with the House and Senate as they move forward toward our shared goal of protecting our nation’s investors and restoring their faith and confidence in financial regulators and markets alike.”

Meanwhile, industry lobbyists are expected to continue their assault as reform proposals move slowly through the political process and toward the president’s desk.
About Us
The North American Securities Administrators Association (NASAA) is a voluntary association of securities administrators in the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, Canada and Mexico.
Organized in 1919, NASAA is the oldest international organization devoted to investor protection.
As the preeminent organization of securities regulators, NASAA is committed to protecting investors from fraud and abuse, educating investors, supporting capital formation and helping ensure the integrity and efficiency of financial markets.
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Keep up with the latest NASAA developments by subscribing to the NASAA E-mail Update Service.
This free resource is available on the homepage of the NASAA website at www.nasaa.org.

NASAA Awards
NASAA Honors Members for Outstanding Service to Investors

NASAA recently recognized several individuals within member jurisdictions for their outstanding service to investors.
During the 2010 Winter Enforcement Conference, Kevin Anselm of Oregon was presented with the NASAA Distinguished Service Award for her leadership on the negotiating team that led to a settlement with Prosper Marketplace Inc.
Several members also were presented with NASAA’s Outstanding Team Service Award for their efforts on the Auction Rate Securities Task Force, which resulted in the largest return of funds to investors in history. They include: Cheryl Farson, Arizona; Ronak Patel, Texas; and Peter Dean, New York.
In addition, special recognition was awarded to task force members: Pat Ahearn, Angela Angelakos, Peter Cole, Bill Donahue, Zesley Haislip, Judi Lahr, Jennifer Monopoli, Jim Nix, Jerry Rome, Nathan Soendker and MaryBeth McWilliams.
During the 2009 NASAA Investor Education Training Seminar, Andrew Roth of California and Gena Wilimitis of New Mexico were presented with NASAA’s Distinguished Service Award in Investor Education. Roth was recognized for his leadership on the NASAA IE Section Rapid Response team, while Wilimitis was honored for her service on the Affinity and Military Outreach Project group and for her efforts on behalf of investors in New Mexico, specifically frequently victimized populations including religious groups, women in transition and Native Americans.
Awards from NASAA’s Investor Education Presentations Outreach program were presented to Illinois and West Virginia.